1 2 3 4 5 6	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney JOANNE HOEPER, State Bar #114961 Chief Trial Deputy ANDREW GSCHWIND, State Bar #231700 Deputy City Attorney Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street, 6 th Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408 Telephone: (415) 554-3800 Facsimile: (415) 554-3837				
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
9					
0	LINITED STATE	'S DISTRICT COLE	OT.		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
2	JOSEPH VICTOR LAGANA, Case No. C 08-03392 CW				
3	Plaintiff,		RDER GRANTING		
4	VS.	DEFENDANT C	ITY'S MOTION TO AILURE TO STATE A		
5	SAN FRANCISCO POLICE		THE ALTERNATIVE,		
7	DEPARTMENT, Defendant.		Hon. Claudia Wilken		
8	Defendant.	Judge: Hearing Date: Time:			
9		Place:	Crtrm. 2, 4th Floor Oakland, CA 94612		
20		Date Action Filed: Trial Date:	May 29, 2008 Not set		
21		mai Date.	Not set		
22					
23					
24	On July 21 2008, defendant City and Cou	unty of San Francisco	o, by and through its counsel,		
25	Deputy City Attorney Andrew M. Gschwind, filed a motion to dismiss the complaint for failure to				
26	state a claim, or, in the alternative, for a more definite statement. [Plaintiff Joseph Victor Lagan filed				
27		_	-		
0	I and the second				

a brief in opposition to defendant's motion, and defendant filed a reply brief.] [Strike out if no opposition and/or reply papers were filed.]

[This matter came on for a hearing on August 28, 2008. Defendant was represented by DCA Gschwind, and plaintiff represented himself.] [Strike out if no hearing was held.]

HAVING READ the parties' briefs [and considered the arguments of both parties at hearing,]
[THE COURT HEREBY GRANTS defendant's motion to dismiss the complaint. The Court finds that plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim under federal law because it contains only a conclusory allegation that plaintiff's First Amendment rights were violated without alleging any factual basis whatsoever for this claim. The Court further finds that plaintiff's complaint fails to state any claims under California law for the same reason. Furthermore, because plaintiff does not attach any causes of action to his complaint as required, his claims fail for this reason as well. Finally, plaintiff has not alleged compliance with the California claims presentation statutes contained in the California Government Code, as required.

ACCORDINGLY, THE COURT hereby dismisses this action without prejudice.] [Strike out if motion for a more definite statement is granted in the alternative.]

[OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE:

THE COURT HEREBY GRANTS defendant's motion, in the alternative, for a more definite statement pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(e). Specifically, the Court finds that plaintiff's sole allegation that, "First Amendment violation and assault, frequent threats and stalking toward plaintiff," is so vague and ambiguous that the City cannot reasonably be required to frame a responsive pleading to it.

ACCORDINGLY, PLAINTIFF IS REQUIRED TO FURNISH, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF SERVICE OF THIS ORDER, a more definite statement of his legal claims and the factual allegations that support such claims. In this statement, plaintiff must set forth how and when his First Amendment rights were violated and by whom. He should also set forth how and when and by whom

1	he was allegedly assaulted, threatened and/or stalked.] [Strike out if motion to dismiss is granted.]		
2			
3			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5			
6			
7	Dated: HON. CLAUDIA WILKEN		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, SHADE ADESANWO, declare as follows:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the above-entitled action. I am employed at the City Attorney's Office of San Francisco, Fox Plaza Building, 1390 Market Street, Fifth Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102.

On July 21, 2008, I served the following document(s):

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT CITY'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

BY UNITED STATES MAIL: Following ordinary business practices, I sealed true and correct copies of

on the following persons at the locations specified:

Joseph Victor Lagana 5238 Cartwright Avenue, #8 No. Hollywood, CA 91601

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

 \boxtimes

in the manner indicated below:

12	the above documents in addressed envelope(s) and placed them at my workplace for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am readily familiar with the practices of the San Francisco City Attorney's
13 14	Office for collecting and processing mail. In the ordinary course of business, the sealed envelope(s) that I placed for collection would be deposited, postage prepaid, with the United States Postal Service that same day.
15 16	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I sealed true and correct copies of the above documents in addressed envelope(s) and caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand at the above locations by a professional messenger service. A declaration from the messenger who made the delivery is attached or will be filed separately with the court.
17	
18	BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY : I sealed true and correct copies of the above documents in addressed envelope(s) and placed them at my workplace for collection and delivery by overnight courier service. I am readily familiar with the practices of the San Francisco City Attorney's Office for sending overnight deliveries.
19	In the ordinary course of business, the sealed envelope(s) that I placed for collection would be collected by a courier the same day.
20	BY FACSIMILE: Based on a written agreement of the parties to accept service by fax, I transmitted true
21	and correct copies of the above document(s) via a facsimile machine at telephone number Fax #' to the persons and the fax numbers listed above. The fax transmission was reported as complete and without error. The transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine, and a copy of the transmission

I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed July 21, 2008, at San Francisco, California.

report is attached or will be filed separately with the court.

/s/ Shade Adesanwo
SHADE ADESANWO

27

22

23

24

25

26

28